EID/GE 335: Sociocultural Aspects of Design

Inst.: Richard Bordner

Off. Hrs: MWF 11:30-2, TR 11:30-12:30 or by appointment (BehSci Bldg rm. 114) Phone: 739-4644(wk). E-mail= rbordner@chaminade.edu; bordnerr001@gmail.com

Website: https://greatsageproject.org/

Required Texts: Hall, Edward 1966. <u>The Hidden Dimension</u>. NY: Anchor/Doubleday.

Marcus, Clare 2006. House as a Mirror of Self. NY: Nicolas-Hays.

Miller, Daniel 2010. Stuff. NY: Polity.

Bordner, Richard 2006. <u>Thrum's Almanac and Annual 1875-1933</u>. Honolulu: SRSC Press. Available as e-books (you need volumes 1, 2 and 5) from Amazon.com in Kindle format.

Recommended Texts: Daniels, Inge 2010. The Japanese House. NY: Berg.

Gallagher, Winifred 2006. <u>House Thinking: A Room-by-Room Look at How We Live</u>. NY: Harper.

<u>Course Description</u>: The purpose of this course is to provide students with a background in cross-cultural aspects of design and material culture. The main emphasis will be on the use of space and symbolism as forms of communication within a cultural and ritual context, often without the conscious understanding of the inhabitants. Areas of discussion will be: symbolic and cultural templates on residential layout; concepts of the home in different societies; geomantic/*feng shui* logic in exterior and interior layout in different cultures (specifically Hawai`i, Japan and China); and cultural aspects to interior design both in layout and furnishings. The major areas of regional emphasis from which examples will be taken from are the Americas (both pre-contact and Euroamerican), East Asia and the Pacific.

This course fulfills the requirements of a cross-listed course as either GE 335 or ID 335.

The Marianist Values of Chaminade University, which we strive to incorporate into these classes:

- 1) Educate in formation of faith
- 2) Provide an integral quality education
- 3) Educate in family spirit
- 4) Educate for service, justice and peace
- 5) Educate for adaptation and change

As a course in the Behavioral Science program you will demonstrate the following:

- a growth in your understanding of the reciprocal relationships between the individual and the group (ethnic or society);
- a growth in your understanding of anthropological concepts and the appropriate use of the terminology.
- To increase your awareness of how pervasive cultural aspects of design, especially spatial and symbolic, are in most societies, including contemporary American society;
- To sensitize you to the need to accommodate cultural logic in design decisions;

- To develop a more comprehensive understanding of the basic logic underlying some geomantic systems and feng-shui principles and their application in design decisions;
- To enhance the ability to "read" symbols and icons, both physical and spatial, and be able to interpret their meaning.

As a course in the Interior Design program you will demonstrate the following:

- A growth in your understanding of different cultures (Standard 2: Global Perspective for Design);
- A growth in your understanding of the diversity of social and behavioral norms (Standard 3: Human Behavior);
- A growth in your understanding of the design process (Standard 4: Design Process);
- A growth in your understanding of how collaboration works (Standard 5: Collaboration);
- A growth in your understanding of the need to communicate effectively (Standard 6: Communication);

<u>Course Learning Outcomes—by the end of the semester you will have demonstrated</u> knowledge of the following:

- Perception, reality and theory of explanation in the behavioral sciences and geography
- Semiotic and architectonic analysis, ritual space and post-modern analysis
- Cultural diversity and symbolism in design—past and present
- American cultural landscapes and icons
- American artificial cultural landscapes-Waikiki, Disney and Las Vegas
- Cultural landscapes of Hawai`i 1000-2010
- Spatial & symbolic aspects of Asian societies--interior spatial use and ritual space
- Feng shui principles in Chinese interior space use and application
- Cross-cultural integration of design values and concepts

Catalog: Cross-cultural aspects of social space, both at the level of the community and within structures. Emphasis will be on the symbolic and contextual basis of design, especially in vernacular architecture.

GRADING:

Skill Competencies you must have to take the course:

- You must join the Canvas Group to receive course materials
- THOUGHT OF THE WEEK EXERCISES: You will complete a Thought of The Weeks Exercise for each Module [4 total-see schedule]. At the start of each module I will post some topics you might want to consider, or you can do one based on your own personal interest from the materials in that module—if you want to do your own, you must check we me first to see is it's appropriate.
 - O Each TOTW will consist of a minimum of 6 paragraphs in a specific format [see below]
 - O Each TOTW is due by the end of that module
 - o The TOTWs <u>count for 60%</u> of your course grade;

GROUP PROJECT:

- The group project will consist of a design problem in which a number of social factors must be applied.
- I will provide the scenario in the form of a proposal.

• You will form a group [as a consulting firm] that will compete via a formal proposal to the client.

• Groups will be from 2-4 members

- Every team member must contribute a specific portion of the design input for the proposal and up to 3-4 pages of the final proposal
- The final group proposal will be a minimum of 8 pages in length (text)
- It will be suitable for submission to professionals in design and architecture

• The group gets a group grade

- Each individual will submit a separate e-text copy of the unique material they contributed to the proposal
- This is a win/lose project. Teams that submit professional, creative and sophisticated proposals that address the RFP will get full credit. Those considered substandard in quality and detail will only get partial credit.
- The proposal will be reviewed both by the instructor and also by 1 or more external reviewers
- The Project counts for 15% of the course grade

• REACTION PAPERS and LEARNING ASSIGNMENTS [as required]:

- O You will write a number of reaction papers based on assigned videos
- O Questions will be posed for you to react to
- o You will not be graded on grammar
- o They will be from 1-2 pages in length
- O There will also be a number of learning assignments. You will be graded on the completion of the assignments.
- o Reaction Papers/Learning Assignments count for 5% of the course grade

• WAIKIKI FIELD TRIP:

- O You will be required to go on the Waikiki Field Trip
- O You must write a short reaction paper on your adventure, based on the questions provided
- O This will count for 5% of the course grade.

• ATTENDANCE:

- o Participation is mandatory in all CUH courses
- O Participation (completing course material on time) counts for 5% of your course grade

TOTW exercises (4) 70%	A = 90-100	D = 60-69
Group Project 15%	B = 80-89	F = -60
Reaction/Learning Assign5%	C = 70-79	
Waikiki Field Walk 5%		
Participation 5%		

THERE IS NO POSSIBILITY OF EXTRA-CREDIT WORK IN THIS CLASS

• <u>ELECTRONICS RULES</u>:

- o Computers-tablets used to take notes in class are encouraged
- o If you abuse this by trying to multi-task either with social media or working on material for other courses, you will lose the privilege for the rest of the semester
- o Smartphones are not acceptable as classroom devices, so they must be off;

 Breaking these rules will result in you being thrown out of class for that day and listed as not attending.

Thought of the Week (TOTW) Exercise Format 7/18

TOTW FORMAT:

- 4 Parts [THESE ARE THE MINIMUMS]:
 - 1) Concept or topic covered—specify and define [1 PARAGRAPH]
 - 2) Put into a context—what is it's importance w/in course material [1-2 PARAGRAPH]
 - 3) Go into detail on 1-2 specific aspects of the concept/topic NOT covered in the class materials—you can use your course readings but you must also have at least 1 source outside of the course materials [5-6 PARAGRAPHS]
 - 4) Discuss the context/relevance of this concept to you (personal), to us (Hawai'i), and to us (global) [2-3 PARAGRAPHS]
 - 5) Citations with bibliography [APA format preferred]

RULES:

PARAGRAPH= defined as having 2 or more sentences.

CITATIONS= You must show where you got your material from. You MUST support your material with citations.

WAYS TO LOSE POINTS:

1 Use internet/generic definition rather than discipline [course] specific definition= 1 grade down

Logic= If you can't remember that the course is within a discipline, which has specific definitions attached to terms, then you're not engaging in the class. Also if you forget this rule, you need to be reminded to focus on task.

2 Merely repeating class [powerpoint/talks] materials= 1 grade down minimum

Logic= If you can't be organized time-wise to look for outside sources, then you're not completing the assignment—you're merely repeating my materials back to me.

3 Opinion-Only Writing= If your writing only shows your opinion on the concept/topic; no citations= 1 grade down minimum

Logic= No one really cares about opinions—they want proof to back up your assertions/analyses so that you are more convincing. If you really need to vent, that's what social media is for.

4 Working on exercises with others= minimum 1 grade down

Logic= Sharing material means either you're putting in ½ the effort, or, more likely, one member is doing all the work and the other are entertaining (hopefully) parasites.

5 Bogus citations/sources= 1 grade down minimum

Logic= If you only pull your sources from the immediate [Wikipedia-only for example] then just as with the generic definition, you're not engaging with the material and showing any effort to process the class materials.

EID-GE 335: Criteria for Judging Group Project

•	Does the proposal fulfill the RFP request YN
•	Does the proposal clearly show how it satisfies the requirements Y N

Is the proposal a creative solution—i.e., market-competitive or is it mundane

IIN
Does the proposal clearly express the unique cultural characteristics of the target populations YN
Is the proposal professionally presented (clear, logical, proper grammar/spelling)

• Does the proposal exhibit a substantial amount of effort on the part of the team members (or a quick-and-dirty: Did you spend more time judging it than they did writing it) Y-----N

COURSE SCHEDULE:

Y-----N

MODULE	DATES	TOPICS COVERED	ASSIGNMENTS
I	Jan. 14-25	Theories-Methods-	Read through
		Concepts	PowerPoint Module
			1-1C; Read Hall (all);
			Read Thrum Set 1
			(vol. 2)
II	Jan. 28-Feb. 1	Virtual-Digital Worlds	Read through MOD
			2; Read Thrum Set 2-
			3 (vol. 1, 5); TOTW 1
			ETA
III	Feb. 4-15	Euro-American Cultural	Read through MOD
		Landscapes	3-3B; Read Miller
			(all); read through
			Hawaiian folder
IV	Feb. 18-March 1	Hawaiian Cultural	Read through MOD
		Landscapes past-present	4; Read Marcus (all);
			TOTW 2 ETA
V-VI	March 4-8	East Asian Cultural	Read through MOD
		Landscapes-	5-6; go through Asian
		Geomancy/Mesoamerican	Folder
		Landscapes	
VII-VIII	March 11-29	Cross-cultural Spatial	Read through MOD
		Arrangements/ American	7-8; TOTW 3 ETA
		Material Culture	
IX	April 1-12	Tourism and Design	Read through MOD
			9-9B; TOTW 4 ETA
X	April 15-May 3	Group Project and	Read through MOD
		Proposal Development	10; Proposal DUE
			May 6, 3pm (both
			hard copy and e-
			copy)

T.G. Thrum's ANNUALS Readings for GE/ID 335

Use the Pdf search function as the easiest way to get directly to the article. Most of the articles are only 2-4 pages.

Assigned Readings I: Vol. 2: I HALA AND ARCHAEOLOGY				
Traditional Hawaiian society as viewed in the late 19 th century:				
1887: Hawaiian Poetical Names for Places, by C.M. Hyde				
1905: On Hawaiian Duplicated Place Names, by T.G. Thrum(?)				
1922: Wrestling with Place Names, by T.G. Thrum				
1925: A Sea Island Land System, by J.M. Lydgate				
1925: Hawaiian Land Terms, by T.G. Thrum(?)				
complexity of terminology, compare to modern terms and usage—what do they tell you				
about the traditional Hawaiian view of the land?				
1907: Tales From the Temples; Heiaus of Oahu; Heiaus of Kauai; by T.G. Thrum(?)				
1926: Leahi Heiau (Temple): Papa-ena-ena, by T.G. Thrum				
ritual complexity				
Optional:				
1928: The Paehumus of Heiaus Non-Sacred, by T.G. Thrum				
1907: Heiaus and Heiau Sites Throughout the Hawaiian Islands: Island of Kauai; Island of Oahu;				
by T.G. Thrum				
Assigned Readings II: Vol. 1: RETROSPECTIVES, TRIVIA AND MARITIME				
Read the Retrospective from one year (from 1877-1898). What was going—then read a second				
year from 1905-1932 and look at what has changedhow and why?				
1932 : History in Honolulu Streets, by C.J. Lyons				
1882: Bits of Unwritten History, by H.L. Sheldon				
Assigned Readings III: Vol. 5: HAWAII-NEI: 1898-1910				
1899: Honolulu in 1853, by W. Goodale and T.G. Thrum				
the Honolulu you know				
1904 : Streets of Honolulu in the Early Forties, by G.D. Gilman 106—as with the				
earlier article, compare to modern Honolulu				
1906 : Extracts from an Ancient Log, by T.G. Thrum				
interesting social and geographical relationships and how Honolulu becomes dominant				
1907: Lahaina in Early Days, by G.D. Gilman				
1908: An Early Ascent of Maunaloa, A. Menzies and British Museum 170—one of the				
best early accounts of Hawaii at the initial stage of contact.				

We comply with all federal laws and guidelines including Title 9.

Chaminade University of Honolulu recognizes the inherent dignity of all individuals and promotes respect for all people. Sexual misconduct, physical and/or psychological abuse will NOT be tolerated at CUH. If you have been the victim of sexual misconduct, physical and/or psychological abuse, we encourage you to report this matter promptly. As a faculty member, I am interested in promoting a safe and healthy environment, and should I learn of any sexual misconduct, physical and/or psychological abuse, I must report the matter to the Title IX Coordinator. Should you want to speak to a confidential source you may contact the following:

• Chaminade Counseling Center 808 735-4845.

• Any priest serving as a sacramental confessor or any ordained religious leader serving in the sacred confidence role.

Academic Honesty

Academic honesty is an essential aspect of all learning, scholarship, and research. It is one of the values regarded most highly by academic communities throughout the world. Violations of the principle of academic honesty are extremely serious and will not be tolerated.

Students are responsible for promoting academic honesty at Chaminade by not participating in any act of dishonesty and by reporting any incidence of academic dishonesty to an instructor or to a University official. Academic dishonesty may include theft of records or examinations, alteration of grades, and plagiarism.

Questions of academic dishonesty in a particular class are first reviewed by the instructor, who must make a report with recommendations to the Dean of the Academic Division. Punishment for academic dishonesty will be determined by the instructor and the Dean of the Academic Division and may range from an 'F' grade for the work in question to an 'F' for the course to suspension or dismissal from the University.

SCIENTIFIC METHOD DEFINITIONS

The **METHODS OF SCIENCE** are only tools, tools that we use to obtain knowledge about phenomena.

The **SCIENTIFIC METHOD** is a set of assumptions and rules about collecting and evaluating data. The explicitly stated assumptions and rules enable a standard, systematic method of investigation that is designed to reduce bias as much as possible. Central to the scientific method is the collection of data, which allows investigators to put their ideas to an empirical test, outside of or apart from their personal biases. In essence, stripped of all its glamour, scientific inquiry is nothing more **THAN A WAY OF LIMITING FALSE CONCLUSIONS ABOUT NATURAL EVENTS.**

Knowledge of which the credibility of a profession is based must be objective and verifiable (testable) rather than subjective and untestable.

SCIENCE is a mode of controlled inquiry to develop an objective, effective, and credible way of knowing.

The assumptions one makes regarding the basic qualities of human nature (that is, cognitive, affective, behavioral, and physiological processes) affect how one conceptualizes human behavior

The two basic functions of scientific approach are 1) advance knowledge, to make discoveries, and to learn facts in order to improve some aspect of the world, and 2) to establish relations among events, develop theories, and this helps professionals to make predictions of future events.

Research Design And Counseling Heppner, Kivlighan, and Wampold

A **THEORY** is a large body of interconnected propositions about how some portion of the world operates; a **HYPOTHESIS** is a smaller body of propositions. **HYPOTHESES** are smaller versions of theories. Some are derived or born from theories. Others begin as researchers' hunches and develop into theories.

The **PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE** decrees we can only falsify, not verify (prove), theories because we can never be sure that any given theory provides the best explanation for a set of observations.

Research Method In Social Relations Kidder

THEORIES are not themselves directly proved or disproved by research. Even **HYPOTHESES** cannot be proved or disproved directly. Rather, research may either support or fail to support a particular hypothesis derived from a theory.

Scientific research has four general goals: (1) to describe behavior, (2) to predict behavior, (3) to determine the causes of behavior, and (4) to understand or explain behavior.

Methods In Behavioral Research; Cozby

In order to verify the reliability and validity of scientific research it is important to replicate the results. It is the preponderance of evidence that establishes/supports the theory. http://allpsych.com/researchmethods/replication.html
Excerpt from :